AD-754 791

ANALYSIS OF SPARSE ELIMINATION

James R. Bunch

Cornell University

Prepared for:

Office of Naval Research

January 1973

DISTRIBUTED BY:



National Technical Information Service
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield Va. 22151

# ANALYSIS OF SPARSE ELIMINATION

James R. Bunch
Cornell University
TR 73-158
January, 1973



Reproduced by
NATIONAL TECHNICAL
INFORMATION SERVICE
U.S. Department of Commerce
Springfield VA 22131

Approved for public release;

Lastribution Unlimited



Security Classification				
DOCUMENT C  (Security Classification of title, hids all abstract and indi-	ONTROL DATA - R		nset ill report to the salieds	
1 Official time ACTI (171) (Corporate author)		unclassified		
Cornell University		SP. CHOUS.		
Analysis of Sparse Elimination	,			
4 DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and, inclusive dates)  Technical Report  5. Authoris (First reve, and de initial, last name)		are to the second s		
James R. Bunch				
January 1973	74. TOTAL NO 1	OF PAGES	75, NO. OF REPS	
83, CONTRACT OF GRANT NO. NOO014-67-A-0077-0021	24, ORIGINATOR	28, ORIGINATOR'S PEFORT NUMBER(S)		
b. PROJECT NO.		73-158		
c.	9h. GTHER KEF- this report)	96. GINER REPORT 110(2) (Auf other numbers that may be assigned this report)		
ď.				
Approved for public i	release, dist	ribution	unlimited.	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	Office	Office of Naval Research, Rochester		
13. AUSTRACT				
An error analysis is prowhen the matrix is arbitrary elimination on band matrices special cases.	ily sparse.	Error and	alvaca for	

The Market and

Security Classification LINK C ROLL HOLE ROLE sparse matrix error analysis Gaussian elimination band matrix graph theory

DD 1080 1473 (BACK)

11

Security Classification

# 1. INTRODUCTION.

Since the direct solution of systems of linear equations by elimination is now well understood when the matrix is full or band, attention has turned to the problem of elimination of systems when the matrix is arbitrarily sparse. When the sparse matrix arises from the discretization of an elliptic partial differential equation, it has a special structure and special properties which make iterative methods attractive. Even here, however, one can often find an ordering of the equations which makes elimination competitive (George[3]). But all too frequently the matrix has an arbitrarily sparse structure, e.g. in network analysis and econometric problems.

Here we present an error analysis of (point) Gaussian elimination when the matrix is arbitrarily sparse. The analysis is presented in terms of the structure of the elimination graphs arising in the elimination process. The only assumption we make is that the leading principal minors are non-zero, i.e. that the (1,1) entry in each reduced matrix is non-zero (cf. Forsythe and Moler [2], pp. 27-36).

Since the graphs of band and full matrices are special elimination graphs, the error analyses for band and full matrices follow as special cases.

#### 2. MATRICES AND GRAPHS.

Let M be an  $n \times n$  matrix with non-zero leading principal minors; hence M is non-singular and the LU decomposition of M exists and is unique. If M is symmetric, then M=LDL $^{t}$ .

Rose [4] associated an undirected graph with a symmetric matrix and interpreted the LDL<sup>t</sup> factorization graph—theoretically by undirected elimination graphs. Bunch and Rose [1] made the extension of the association of directed graphs with general square matrices and of the interpretation of the LU factorization by directed elimination graphs.

The <u>directed graph</u> of M,  $G(M) = (X, \alpha)$ , with vertex set X and arc set  $\alpha$ , is defined as follows: a vertex  $x_i \in X$  is associated with row i of M, and  $(x_i, x_j) \in \alpha$  (an arc from  $x_i$  to  $x_j$  is in G) if and only if  $m_{ij} \neq 0$  and  $i \neq j$ . The vertices X are regarded as ordered; i.e.,  $X = \{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ .

Let the matrix M be written as  $M = \begin{bmatrix} a & r^t \\ c & B \end{bmatrix}$ , where a is  $l \times l$ , r and c are  $(n-1) \times l$  and B is  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ . Then the first step of the LU factorization of M can be written as

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ c/a & I \end{bmatrix} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} a & r^t \\ 0 & B-cr^t/a \end{bmatrix} .$$

If G(M) is the directed graph of M, the elimination graph G<sub>y</sub> is obtained from G by deleting y together with its incident arcs and adding an arc (x,z) whenever there exists a (directed) x, z path of length 2 containing y. G<sub>y</sub> is the graph of the matrix obtained by "eliminating" the variable corresponding to y in Gaussian elimination; e.g., G<sub>x</sub> is the graph of B-cr<sup>t</sup>/a. The accidental creation of zeros during the elimination process is ignored. For a more detailed discussion, see Bunch and Rose [1], Section 2.

Let  $G_1, \ldots, G_{n-1}$  be the sequence of elimination graphs defined recursively by  $G_0=G(M)$  and  $G_i=(G_{i-1})\times_i$ . Let  $|\mathcal{G}|$  be the number of elements in the set  $\mathcal{G}$ . Let  $r_i=|\{y\in X_{i-1}: (x_i,y)\in \alpha_{i-1}, G_{i-1}=(X_{i-1},\alpha_{i-1})\}|$  and  $c_i=|\{y\in X_{i-1}: (y,x_i)\in \alpha_{i-1}, G_{i-1}=(X_{i-1},\alpha_{i-1})\}|$  by the outdegree and in-degree, respectively, of vertex  $x_i$  in the elimination graph  $G_{i-1}$ .

Note that  $r_i+1$ ,  $c_i+1$  is the number of non-zero elements in the first row, column of the reduced matrix of order n-i+1, i.e. the reduced matrix whose graph is  $G_{i-1}$ .

Define  $e_{ik}$ =1 if there is an arc from  $x_i$  to  $x_k$  and  $e_{ki}$ =1 if there is an arc from  $x_k$  to  $x_i$  in  $G_{i-1}$ . Otherwise, let  $e_{ik}$ =0 and  $e_{ki}$ =0. We count a division as a multiplication and a subtraction as an addition.

When M is symmetric, then  $r_i=c_i\equiv d_i$ , and Rose [4] has n-1 shown that the factorization M=LDL requires  $\sum_{i=1}^{L} d_i (d_i+3)/2$  multiplications and  $\sum_{i=1}^{L} d_i (d_i+1)/2$  additions, while the i=l n-1 backsolving of LDL x=b requires n+2  $\sum_{i=1}^{L} d_i$  multiplications i=l and 2  $\sum_{i=1}^{L} d_i$  additions.

When M is general, Bunch and Rose [1] have shown that the M=LU factorization requires

$$n-1$$
 $\Sigma (r_i+1)c_i$  multiplications and  $i=1$ 

$$r_i$$
  $r_i$   $r_i$  additions, while the

backsolving LUx=b requires

Examples: Let M be an nxn matrix.

(1) If M is symmetric and full, then  $d_i=n-i$  and solving n-1Mx=LDL<sup>t</sup>x=b requires  $n+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}d_i(d_i+7)/2=\frac{1}{6}n^3+\frac{3}{2}n^2-\frac{2}{3}n$  multiplications and  $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}d_i(d_i+5)/2=\frac{1}{6}n^3+n^2-\frac{7}{6}n$  additions.

- (2) If M is symmetric and band, with bandwidth 2m+1, then  $d_i=m$  for  $1 \le i \le n-m$  and  $d_i=n-i$  for  $n-m+1 \le i \le n-1$ . Solving Mx=LDL<sup>t</sup>x=b requires  $(\frac{1}{2}m^2 + \frac{7}{2}m + 1)n \frac{1}{3}m^3 2m^2 \frac{5}{3}m$  multiplications and  $(\frac{1}{2}m^2 + \frac{5}{2}m)n \frac{1}{3}m^3 \frac{3}{2}m^2 \frac{7}{6}m$  additions.
- (3) If M is general and full, then  $r_i=n-i=c_i$  and solving Mx=LUx=b requires  $n+\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n-1}(r_ic_i+2c_i+r_i)=\frac{1}{3}n^3+n^2-\frac{1}{3}n$  multiplications and  $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n-1}(r_ic_i+c_i+r_i)=\frac{1}{3}n^3+\frac{1}{2}n^2-\frac{5}{6}n$  additions.
- (4) If M is general and band, with bandwidth 2m+1, then  $r_i=m=c_i$  for  $1\le i\le n-m$  and  $r_i=n-i=c_i$  for  $n-m+1\le i\le n-1$  when no pivoting is needed, while  $c_i=m$  for  $1\le i\le n-m$ ,  $c_i=n-i$  for  $n-m+1\le i\le n-1$ ,  $r_i\le 2m$  for  $1\le i\le n-2m$ ,  $r_i\le n-i$  for  $n-2m+1\le i\le n-1$ , when partial pivoting is used. Solving Mx=LUx=b requires  $(m^2+3m+1)n-\frac{2}{3}m^3-2m^2-\frac{4}{3}m$  multiplications and  $(m^2+2m)n-\frac{2}{3}m^3-\frac{3}{2}m^2-\frac{5}{6}m$  additions when no pivoting is needed, and  $\le (2m^2+4m+1)n-\frac{13}{6}m^3-4m^2-\frac{11}{6}m$  multiplications and  $\le (2m^2+3m)n-\frac{13}{6}m^3-\frac{7}{2}m^2-\frac{4}{3}m$  additions when partial pivoting is used.

### 3. ERROR ANALYSIS OF TRIANGULAR FACTORIZATION.

Let 
$$||x||_{\infty} \equiv \max_{1 \le i \le n} |x_{i}|$$
,  $||M||_{\infty} \equiv \max_{1 \le i \le n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} |m_{ij}|$ ,  $||x||_{1} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_{i}|$ ,  $||M||_{1} \equiv \max_{1 \le j \le n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |m_{ij}|$ . If  $M=M^{t}$ , then  $||M||_{1} = ||M||_{\infty}$ .

Due to the finite precision arithmetic, we obtain the triangular factorization of a perturbation of M, i.e. LU=M+F.

Let  $M^{(1)} \equiv M$ . Then the elimination is defined sequentially for  $1 \le k \le n-1$  by the following: given  $M^{(k)}$ , let  $L^{(k)}$  be defined by  $\ell_{ii}^{(k)} = 1$  for  $1 \le i \le n$ ,  $\ell_{ik}^{(k)} = f\ell(m_{ik}^{(k)}/m_{kk}^{(k)})$  for  $k+1 \le i \le n$ ,  $\ell_{ij}^{(k)} = 0$  otherwise, then  $M^{(k+1)} \equiv f\ell(\ell_{ik}^{(k)})^{-1}M^{(k)}$ , i.e.  $m_{ij}^{(k+1)} \equiv f\ell(\ell_{ik}^{(k)})^{-1}M^{(k)}$ , i.e.  $m_{ij}^{(k+1)} \equiv f\ell(\ell_{ik}^{(k)})^{-1}M^{(k)}$ 

$$\begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } k+1 \leq i \leq n, j=k \\ \text{fl}\left(m_{i,j}^{(k)} - l_{i,k}^{(k)} \times m_{k,j}^{(k)}\right) & \text{for } k+1 \leq i, j \leq n \\ m_{i,j}^{(k)} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $U=M^{(n)}$  and  $L=L^{(1)}L^{(2)}\cdots L^{(n-1)}$ , i.e.

$$L_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i < j \\ 1 & \text{for } i = j \\ L_{ij}^{(j)} & \text{for } i > j \end{cases}$$

Following the notation of Forsythe and Moler [2], §21, let u be the unit round-off, e.g.,  $u=\frac{1}{2}\beta^{1-t}$  for rounded operations in t digit, base 8 arithmetic. Then

$$\ell_{ik}^{(k)} = (m_{ik}^{(k)}/m_{kk}^{(k)}) (1+\delta_{ik}e_{ik})$$
, where  $|\delta_{ik}| \le u$ , or

$$0 = m_{ik}^{(k)} - \ell_{ik}^{(k)} m_{kk}^{(k)} + \epsilon_{ik}^{(k)}, \text{ where } \epsilon_{ik}^{(k)} \equiv m_{ik}^{(k)} \delta_{ik} e_{ik} \text{ for }$$

 $k+1 \le i \le n$ , and for  $k+1 \le i$ ,  $j \le n$ :

$$m_{ij}^{(k+1)} = (m_{ij}^{(k)} - \ell_{ik}^{(k)} m_{kj}^{(k)} (1 + \ell_{ij} e_{ik} e_{kj})) / (1 + \ell_{ij}^{'} e_{ik} e_{kj}),$$

where  $\delta_{11}^{\prime}=0$ ,  $|\delta_{11}^{\prime}| \leq u$  for  $2 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $|\delta_{11}^{\prime}| \leq u$  for  $1 \leq i$ ,  $j \leq n$ , or

$$m_{ij}^{(k+1)} = m_{ij}^{(k)} - \ell_{ik}^{(k)} m_{kj}^{(k)} + \epsilon_{ij}^{(k)}$$
,

where  $\varepsilon_{ij}^{(k)} \equiv -\ell_{ik}^{(k)} m_{kj}^{(k)} \delta_{ij} e_{ik} e_{kj} - m_{ij}^{(k+1)} \delta_{ij}^{\prime} e_{ik} e_{kj}$ . Let  $\varepsilon_{ij}^{(k)} = 0$ 

otherwise.

Let  $|\ell_{ik}^{(k)}| \leq \tau$  for all i, k and  $|m_{ij}^{(k)}| \leq \sigma$  for all i,j,k. Then  $|\epsilon_{ik}^{(k)}| \leq \sigma$  for k+1 in and  $|\epsilon_{ij}^{(k)}| \leq (\tau+1) \sigma u e_{ik} e_{kj}$  for k+1 i, j in.

Thus, 
$$||F||_{\infty} \le u\sigma \max_{1 \le i \le n} \begin{cases} i-1 \\ j=1 ij^{+}(\tau+1) \end{cases} \begin{bmatrix} i-1 & j-1 & n & i-1 \\ \sum & \sum e_{ik}e_{kj}^{+} + \sum & \sum e_{ik}e_{kj}^{-} \end{bmatrix}$$
 and  $||F||_{1 \le u\sigma} \max_{1 \le j \le n} \begin{cases} \sum e_{ij}^{+}(\tau+1) \\ i=j+1 \end{cases} \begin{bmatrix} j & i-1 \\ \sum & \sum e_{ik}e_{kj}^{+} + \sum & \sum e_{ik}e_{kj}^{-} \end{bmatrix}$  If M=M<sup>t</sup> and LDL<sup>t</sup>=M+F, then F=F<sup>t</sup>,  $e_{ij}^{-}=e_{ji}^{-}$  for all i,j, 
$$|F_{ii}| \le u(\tau+1)\sigma \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e_{ik}, |F_{ij}| \le u(\tau+1)\sigma \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e_{ik}e_{jk}^{+} + u\sigma e_{ij}^{-}$$
 for i>j, 
$$|F_{ij}| \le u(\tau+1)\sigma \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e_{ik}e_{jk}^{+} + u\sigma e_{ij}^{-}$$
 for i||F||\_{\infty} = ||F||\_{1} = 1
$$|F_{ij}| \le u(\tau+1)\sigma \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e_{ik}e_{jk}^{+} + u\sigma e_{ij}^{-}$$
 for i||F||\_{\infty} = ||F||\_{1} = 1
$$|F_{ij}| \le u(\tau+1)\sigma \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e_{ik}e_{jk}^{+} + u\sigma e_{ij}^{-}$$
 for i||F||\_{\infty} = ||F||\_{1} = 1
$$|F_{ij}| \le u \max_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{1 \le i \le k} e_{ik}e_{jk}^{+} + u\sigma e_{ij}^{-}$$
 for i||F||\_{\infty} = ||F||\_{1} = 1
$$|F_{ij}| \le u \max_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{1 \le i \le k} e_{ik}e_{jk}^{+} + u\sigma e_{ij}^{-}$$
 for i||F||\_{\infty} = ||F||\_{1} = 1
$$|F_{ij}| \le u \max_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{1 \le i \le k} e_{ik}e_{jk}^{+} + u\sigma e_{ij}^{-}$$
 for i||F||\_{\infty} = ||F||\_{1} = 1
$$|F_{ij}| \le u \max_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{1 \le i \le k} e_{ik}e_{jk}^{+} + u\sigma e_{ij}^{-}$$
 for i||F||\_{\infty} = ||F||\_{1} = 1

#### 4. ERROR ANALYSIS OF BACKSOLVING.

Solving Ly=b, where L is unit lower triangular, we obtain the exact solution of  $(L+\delta L)y=b$ . Here  $y_1=b_1$  and  $y_{i} = f \ell (-\ell_{i1} y_{1} - \ell_{i2} y_{2} - \cdots - \ell_{i,i-1} y_{i-1} + b_{i}) =$  $(-\ell_{i1}(1+\delta_{i1})y_1-\cdots-\ell_{i,i-1}(1+\delta_{i,i-1})y_{i-1}+b_i)/(1+\delta_{ii})$ , where  $\delta_{11}=0$ ,  $|\delta_{ii}| \le u$  for  $2 \le i \le n$ ,  $|\delta_{i1}| \le 1.01u(1+\sum_{k=2}^{1-1} e_{k1})e_{i1}$  for  $2 \le i \le n$ ,  $|\mathcal{E}_{ij}| \le 1.01u(1 + \sum_{k=j+1}^{1-1} e_{kj})e_{ij}$  for  $2 \le j \le i \le n$ . Then  $||\delta L||_{1 \le 1.01u} \max_{i,j} \ell_{ij} \max_{1 \le j \le n} \{\sum_{i=j} |\delta_{ij}|\} \le 1$ 1.01u\tau \tau \left\{\frac{1}{2}c\_1(c\_1+1), \text{max} \text{l\frac{1}{2}c\_j(c\_j+1)+1}\right\} \text{ since} \\ \frac{2 \left j \left c\_1 - 1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2}c\_j(c\_j+1) + 1 \]  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\delta_{i1}| \leq 1.01 u \sum_{i=2}^{n} (1 + \sum_{k=2}^{n} e_{k1}) e_{i1} = 1.01 u c_{1} (c_{1}+1)/2 \text{ and}$  $\sum_{i=j}^{n} |\delta_{ij}| \le 1.01 u \left\{ 1 + \sum_{i=j+1}^{n} (1 + \sum_{k=j+1}^{n} e_{kj}) e_{ij} \right\} = 1.01 u \left[ \frac{1}{2} c_{j} (c_{j} + 1) + 1 \right]$ for  $2 \le j \le n-1$ , while  $||\delta L||_{\infty} \le 1.01$ ur max  $\{e_{i1} + \sum_{k=2}^{i-1} e_{k1}e_{i1}\}$ 

Solving Ux=y, where U is upper triangular, we obtain the exact solution of (U+ &U) x=y. Here  $x_n = f \ell(y_n/u_{nn}) =$ 

$$y_n/[u_{nn}(1+\delta_{nn})]$$
 and  $x_i=f \ell\left(\frac{-u_{i,i+1}x_{i+1}-\cdots-u_{in}x_n+y_i}{u_{ii}}\right)=$ 

$$\frac{-u_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{1}}(1+\delta_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{1}}) \times_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{1}} - \cdots - u_{\mathbf{i}n}(1+\delta_{\mathbf{i}n}) \times_{\mathbf{n}} + y_{\mathbf{i}}}{u_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{i}}(1+\delta_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{i}})(1+\delta_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{i}}^{\mathsf{T}})} \quad \text{for } 2 \leq \mathbf{i} \leq \mathbf{n},$$

where  $\delta_{nn}^{\prime}=0$ ,  $|\delta_{ii}^{\prime}| \leq u$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ ,  $|\delta_{ii}| \leq u$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,

$$\left|\delta_{in}\right| \le 1.01u(1+\sum_{k=i+1}^{n-1}e_{kn})e_{in}$$
 for  $1\le i\le n-1$ , and

$$|\delta_{ij}| \le 1.01u(2 + \sum_{k=i+1}^{j-1} e_{kj})e_{ij}$$
 for  $1 \le i < j \le n-1$ . Then

$$||\delta U||_{1 \le \max_{i,j} |u_{ij}|} \max_{1 \le j \le n} \{|\delta_{jj}| + \sum_{i=1}^{j} |\delta_{ij}|\} \le$$

$$\text{ while } ||\delta U||_{\infty} \leq \max_{i,j} |u_{ij}| \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{|\delta'_{ii}| + \sum_{j=i}^{n} |\delta_{ij}|\} \leq$$

1.01ug 
$$\max_{1 \le i \le n-1} \{2+2r_i-2e_{in}+\frac{1}{2}r_i(r_i-1)+e_{in}\} \le$$

1.01ug 
$$\max_{1 \le i \le n-1} \{2 + \frac{1}{2} r_i (r_i + 3) \}$$
.

When M=M<sup>t</sup>, U=DL<sup>t</sup>,  $r_i=c_i=d_i$  and we obtain (D+ $\delta$ D) z=y and (L<sup>t</sup>+ $\Delta$ L<sup>t</sup>) x=z. Here  $z_i=f\ell(y_i/d_{ii})=y_i/[d_{ii}(1+\delta_{ii})]$  where  $|\delta_{ii}|\leq u$  for  $1\leq i\leq n$ , so  $||\delta D||_1=||\delta D||_{\infty}\leq u$  max $|d_{ii}|=u\rho$ , where  $\rho=\max_i|d_{ii}|$ .

 $||\Delta L^{t}||_{1} \leq 1.01 \text{ut max} \{ \max_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} \{1 + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} (1 + \sum_{k=i+1}^{n-1} e_{kj} \}, \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=i+1}^{n-1} e_{kn} e_{in} \}$  and  $||\Delta L^{t}||_{\infty} \leq 1.01 \text{ut max} \{1 + \frac{1}{2} d_{i} (d_{i} + 1) \}.$   $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ 

### 5. ERROR ANALYSIS OF ELIMINATION.

Solving Mx=b in finite precision, we obtain the exact solution of  $(M+\delta M)$  x=b, where  $\delta M=F+(\delta L)$  U+L( $\delta U$ )+( $\delta L$ )( $\delta U$ ), or when M=M<sup>t</sup>,  $\delta M=F+LD(\Delta L^t)+[L(\delta D)+(\delta L)D+(\delta L)(\delta D)][L^t+\Delta L^t]$ .

$$||L||_{1 \le 1+\tau \max_{1 \le j \le n-1} c_{j}}, ||L||_{\infty} \le 1+\tau \max_{2 \le i \le n} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} e_{ij} \le 1+(n-1)\tau,$$

$$||u||_{1 \le \sigma(1 + \max_{1 \le i \le n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} e_{ij}) \le n\sigma, ||u||_{\infty} \le \sigma(1 + \max_{1 \le j \le n-1} r_{j}),$$

$$||L^{t}||_{1} = ||L||_{\infty}, ||L^{t}||_{\infty} = ||L||_{1}, \text{ and } ||D||_{1} = ||D||_{\infty} \le \rho.$$

Thus, in general, 
$$||\delta M||_{1 \le 1.01u\sigma} \begin{cases} \max_{1 \le j \le n} \begin{cases} \sum_{i=j+1}^{n} e_{ij} + 1 \end{cases}$$

$$(\tau+1)\left[\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{j} & \mathbf{i}-\mathbf{l} & \mathbf{n} & \mathbf{j}-\mathbf{l} \\ \Sigma & \Sigma & \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{k} & \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{j} + \sum & \Sigma & \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{k} & \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{j} \\ \mathbf{i}=\mathbf{j}+\mathbf{l} & \mathbf{k}=\mathbf{l} & \mathbf{i} \mathbf{k} & \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{j} \end{array}\right]\right\}$$

$$+\tau \max_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} [1 + \frac{1}{2}c_j(c_j+1)][1 + \max_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} e_{ij}]$$

+max 
$$\begin{cases} \max & j-1 & j-1 \\ \max & [2+\sum (2+\sum e_{kj})e_{ij}], \\ 1 \le j \le n-1 & i=1 & k=i+1 \end{cases}$$

+1.01ut 
$$\max_{1 \le j \le n-1} [1 + \frac{1}{2}c_j(c_j+1)]$$
.  $||\delta M||_{\infty}$  can be bounded

similarly. Similar bounds are obtainable when M=M<sup>t</sup>.

## 6. THE BAND AND FULL CASES.

When M is a band matrix with bandwidth 2m+1, then  $e_{ij}=0$  for |i-j|>m; under Gaussian elimination with partial pivoting,  $e_{ij}=0$  for i>j+m and for i<j+2m.

With no pivoting,  $||F||_{\infty} \le \sigma u[m+(\tau+1)m^2]$ ,  $||L||_{\infty} \le 1+m\tau$ ,  $||\delta L||_{\infty} \le 1.01u\tau[\frac{1}{2}m^2+\frac{1}{2}m+1]$ ,  $||U||_{\infty} \le (m+1)\sigma$ , and  $||\delta U||_{\infty} \le 1.01u\sigma[\frac{1}{2}m^2+\frac{3}{2}m+2]$ ; thus  $||\delta M||_{\infty} \le 1.01u\sigma[\tau m^3+\frac{1}{2}(5\tau+3)m^2+\frac{1}{2}(7\tau+5)+\tau+2+1.01u\tau(\frac{1}{4}m^4+m^3+\frac{9}{4}m^2+\frac{5}{2}m+2)\}$ .

If M is diagonally dominant, then  $\tau \le 1$ ,  $\sigma \le 2$ , and  $||\delta M||_{\infty} \le 1.01u\{2m^3+8m^2+12m+4+1.01u(\frac{1}{2}m^4+2m^3+\frac{9}{2}m^2+5m+4)\}$ .

With partial pivoting,  $\tau=1$ ,  $\sigma \le 2^{2m-1} - (m-1) 2^{m-2}$  (Wilkinson[5]),  $||F||_{\infty} \le u\sigma[5m^2 + 4m]$ ,  $||L||_{\infty} \le 1 + m\tau$ ,  $||\delta L||_{\infty} \le 1.01u[\frac{1}{2}m^2 + \frac{1}{2}m + 1]$ ,  $||U||_{\infty} \le (2m+1)\sigma$ , and  $||\delta U||_{\infty} \le 1.01u\sigma[2m^2 + 3m + 2]$ ; thus  $||\delta M||_{\infty} \le 1.01u\sigma\{3m^3 + \frac{23}{2}m^2 + \frac{23}{2}m + 3 + 1.01u(m^4 + \frac{5}{2}m^3 + \frac{9}{2}m^2 + 4m + 2)\}$ .

When M is full,  $e_{ij}=1$  for all i,j. Thus  $||F||_{\infty} \le u\sigma\{r-1+(\tau+1)(\frac{1}{2}n^2-\frac{1}{2}n)\}=\frac{1}{2}u\sigma r[(\tau+1)n-\tau+1], ||L||_{\infty}\le 1+(n-1)\tau,$   $||U||_{\infty}\le n\sigma, ||\delta L||_{\infty}\le 1.01u\tau[n(n-1)/2+1], ||\delta U||_{\infty}\le 1.01u\sigma(n+1)/2,$  and  $||\delta M||_{\infty}\le 1.01u\sigma[\tau n^3+n^2+(2-\tau)n+1.01u\tau(n^4+2n^2+2n)/4].$ 

With partial or complete pivoting,  $\tau=1$  and  $||\delta M||_{\infty} \le 1.0 \log [n^3+n^2+n+1.0 \log (n^4+2n^2+2n)/4]$ .

## 7. REMARKS.

From §§3-5 we see that the error matrix arising in sparse elimination depends on the fill-in occurring during elimination. In the band case we know a priori the structure of the matrix. However, in the arbitrarily sparse case we must view elimination in the context of optimal ordering, i.e. an ordering of the equations so that fill-in is minimized. Given a fixed ordering, our analysis here bounds the errors occurring in the computation.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Bunch, J.R., and D.J. Rose, "Partitioning, tearing, and modification of sparse linear systems", Cornell University TR 72-149, submitted to <u>Linear Algebra</u> and its Applications.
- [2] Forsythe, G.E., and C.B. Moler, Computer Solution of Linear Algebraic Systems, Prentice-Hall, 1967.
- [3] George, J.A., "Nested dissection of a regular finite element mesh", SIAM <u>Numerical Analysis</u>, to appear.
- [4] Rose, D.J., "A graph-theoretic study of the numerical solution of sparse positive definite systems of linear equations", Graph Theory and Computing, R. Read, editor, Academic Press, New York, 1972.
- [5] Wilkinson, J.H., private communication.